Ellen Relkin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
A New York Professional Corporation

220 Lake Drive East, Suite 210 Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 (856) 755-1115 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) FIN ED JAN 06 2017

JUDCH JESSICA R. HAYER

Anna Bowley and Robert Bowley,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

WYETH LLC, WYETH INC., WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND D/B/A ESI LEDERLE, INC., WYETH HOLDINGS CORPORATION, INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a LEDERLE, JOHN DOE DRUG COMPANY DEFENDANTS, JOHN DOE DRUG DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANTS

Defendants

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION: MIDDLESEX COUNTY

IN RE: REGLAN LITIGATION Case No. 289

DOCKET: MID-L-10417-14 CT

ORDER TO AMEND COMPLAINT TO SUBSTITUTE JOHN DOE DEFENDANTS TO DEFENDANTS PLIVA, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND F/K/A SIDMAK LABORATORIES, INC., AND WATSON LABORATORIES, INC.

THIS MATTER having been brought before the Court upon motion by plaintiffs, on a motion pursuant to R. 4:9-1 for an Order granting plaintiff leave to amend her Complaint to include the following defendants: Pliva, Inc., Individually and F/K/A Sidmak Laboratories, Inc., and Watson Laboratories, Inc. in substitution for John Doe Defendants; and the Court having read the moving papers and the opposition, if any, thereto; and having considered the arguments of counsel, and for good cause shown;

IT IS on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2017,

ORDERED that the caption of the above Complaint be amended to "Anna Bowley and Robert Bowley v. WYETH LLC, WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., INDIVIDUALLY AND d/b/a ESI LEDERLE, INC., WYETH, INC., WYETH HOLDINGS CORPORATION,

SIDMAK LABORATORIES, INC., AND WATSON LABORATORIES, INC."; and it is further ordered that counsel for plaintiffs shall serve a copy of this Order on counsel for defendant within seven (7) days of the date of this Order.

HON. YESSICA R. MAYER, J.S.C

Motion \_\_\_\_Opposed \_\_ Lansel to Pliva having submitted a the letter stating in "opposition" to familiar, but not wriving my defenses that may be applicable to the homesded pleading

UNOPPOSED

"Having reviewed the above motion, I find it to be meritorious on its face and is unopposed. Pursuant to B, 1:6-2, it therefore will be granted essentially for the reasons set forth in the moving papers."